Approved For Release 2005/03/16 : CIA-RDP80B01139A000400290011-7

T/IV/M-5
1 February 1965

U N I T E D S T A T E S I N T E L L I G E N C E B O A R D

COMMITTEE ON DOCUMENTATION

TASK TEAM IV - INSTALLATIONS

Minutes of the Fifth Meeting, 18 January 1965

Members or Their Representatives Present

DIA	-	[redacted] Chairman
CIA	-	[redacted] USAF
ARMY	-	Lt. Col. Clyde H. Mangold
NAVY	-	Lt. Cdr. Anthony D. Sesow
NSA	-	[redacted]
AIR FORCE	-	Mr. Frank Zahn, ACIC
CSS	-	[redacted] Secretary

Others Present

[redacted], CIA
[redacted] (USAF), DIA

1. The Chairman welcomed [redacted] whom he had invited to participate in the discussion of the "both-sides" paper to be presented by [redacted] The draft minutes of the preceding meeting were approved with minor changes. The Chairman then distributed a one-page paper outlining the work accomplished to date and setting forth the work yet to be done prior to starting to draft the team report. The paper also requested that each participant bring to the next meeting an indication (a) of the size of each agency's holdings of intelligence on installations, and (b) any problems and costs in implementing any installation identification program on which the task team agrees. A copy of the Chairman's notes is attached for reference. In his summary remarks, the Chairman expressed optimism regarding the early completion of the team's task, especially once the matter of a category code or codes has been agreed upon.

2. In regard to the "both-sides" paper, i.e., alphabetical versus numerical identification of missile installations, [redacted] reported that he had completed the first draft, but that further internal coordination

Group 1
Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification.

Approved For Release 2005/03/16 : CIA-RDP80B01139A000400290011-7

Approved For Release 2005/03/16 : CIA-RDP80B01139A000400290011-7

was indicated prior to his bringing it to the team for discussion and review. He indicated that the paper should be ready for the next team meeting, and suggested that an interim "blackboard" report of his experience in collecting the data and in drafting the paper might be useful. The team agreed, whereupon [redacted] gave a summary of the main arguments pro and con, and of the varied reactions he encountered from analysts and other users. During the ensuing discussion, the Chairman reported that he had initiated the drafting and review of a DIA paper aimed at consolidating the two present methods of identifying missile installations. He added that working level coordination of this paper had been accomplished and that it was being forwarded to the higher DIA levels for review. The Chairman expressed the view that the climate for eventually reaching a resolution between these two installation identification systems was better than it had been for some time. It was agreed to defer further discussions pending receipt of the "both-sides" paper by [redacted]

25X1

25X1

3. The coding problem was explored at some length. A clear distinction was made between a code for documents such as the ISC, and a code for specific kinds of information, such as the BE. There was also considerable discussion of the possible interface relations between the coding system for the installation identification information format and the various schemes being explored by other CODIB Task Teams to place documents or their contents under degrees of control. It was agreed that the primary responsibility of the team is to complete an agreed-upon format design which meets the needs of the installation identification problem, and that the most appropriate and effective code for this purpose should be selected. It was the consensus that any authoritative review of the coding interface aspects should take place after the installation identification format has been completed and forwarded.

4. It was agreed that the next meeting should take place at 1000, 1 February 1965, in the Langley building. Miss [redacted] of the CODIB Support Staff will notify all participants as to the conference room. The Chairman expressed confidence that initial report drafting could be undertaken following the determination of the most effective and appropriate code for the installations identification information format.

25X1

[redacted]

25X1

Secretary

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

Approved For Release 2005/03/16 : CIA-RDP80B01139A000400290011-7

Approved For Release 2005/03/16 : CIA-RDP80B01139A000400290011-7

NOTES OF THE FIFTH MEETING
OF
TASK TEAM IV - INSTALLATIONS

1. Our first order of business is the minutes of the meeting we held on 21 December 1964.

2. At the last meeting we aksed [redacted] to prepare a "both sides" paper on the two different systems currently in use for the designation of ICBM sites and if we have the paper available now, I think we should discuss it and reach a conclusion; and if our good secretary has obtained CODIB guidance on the procedural point involved, we should relay our conclusions through CODIB to USIB. 25X1

3. Our committee has reached agreement on our terms of reference, on the use of the DIA Point Reference Guide Book, on UTM and/or geographic grid coordinates (and sources from which derived), on the use of BGN-approved place names, on the use of WAC and B.E. numbers, and on the use of the DoD two-letter country code. We have agreed that a category code or codes not yet specified should be dealt with, and I believe that it is appropriate to discuss this matter at this time. At the same time, our discussion should include the matter of the five coding systems currently in use [redacted]. I have discussed the work of our Task Team with [redacted] head of the Information Processing and Display Division, Joint Command and Control Requirements Group. [redacted] is very much interested, plans to attend some of our meetings, and will be happy to coordinate on the Task Team's report. 25X1 25X1 25X1

4. When we have reached agreement on the matter of a category code or codes, I believe we will be close to the end of the beginning of our Team's work and can commence the writing of a report, finalizing conclusions and formalizing recommendations. It would be helpful if all agencies involved would provide the Task Team with:

a. An indication of the size of their holdings of intelligence on installations in terms of numbers of installations involved; and

b. Indicating any problems and costs involved in the implementation of essential installation identification elements upon which the Task Team agrees.

Agreement - in principle - however, exceptions to be granted for transitional purposes. [redacted] 25X1

Group I
Excluded from automatic
Downgrading and
Declassification.

Approved For Release 2005/03/16 : CIA-RDP80B01139A000400290011-7